

ENTERED

June 24, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ANTHONY HANCOCK,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JOHN DOE 1, *et al.*,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-00080

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM
AND RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS**


On May 20, 2025, United States Magistrate Judge Jason B. Libby issued his “Memorandum and Recommendation to Dismiss” (D.E. 24). Plaintiff was provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 24), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the

findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. The Court further **ORDERS** that this dismissal counts as a “strike” for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and **INSTRUCTS** the Clerk of Court to send notice of this dismissal to the Manager of the Three Strikes List for the Southern District of Texas at Three_Strikes@txs.uscourts.gov.

ORDERED on June 24, 2025.


NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE